

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/923,957	08/08/2001	Shell S. Simpson	10015146-1	2075
7590 05/25/2005		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ZHOU, TING	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	•		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2009	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/923,957	SIMPSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ting Zhou	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant the period for reply will, by significant period for reply will be approximately period for reply will, by significant period for reply will be approximately period for reply will be approximatel	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, i I reply within the statutory minimum riod will apply and will expire SIX (6 latule, cause the application to become	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1)	This action is non-final. wance except for formal	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objecte the drawing(s) be held in a rection is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No Deen received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape (/08) 5) Notic 6) Othe	view Summary (PTO-413) or No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050502	ď

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 21 March 2005 under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/923,957 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. The amendments filed on 21 March 2005, submitted with the filing of the RCE have been received and entered. Claims 1-12 as amended are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall et al. U.S. Publication 2002/0097259 and Hoyle U.S. Patent 6,771,290.

Referring to claims 1 and 7, Marshall et al. teach a system and program product for web based imaging comprising at least one graphic store for storing a plurality of different graphics (memories materials such as those obtained from external sources) (Marshall et al.: page 2, paragraph 0018 and page 3, paragraph 0030 and further shown in Figure 5); a group composition store that is networked and autonomous for storing a plurality of compositions, with each different composition referencing at least one graphic in the at least one graphic store that is

Art Unit: 2173

external to the group composition store (the memories product generation system, which can be a stand alone system that is connected to the memories materials of the fulfillment center via a data communications medium, can create products, such as stories using memories materials, such as memories from external sources) (Marshall et al.: page 2, paragraph 0018, pages 3-4, paragraphs 0028, 0031-0036 and Figure 1B) and including data to place the referenced graphic within the composition (a listing of the various memories products that can be generated is presented to the customer, with each memories product using at least one of the memories material such as memories materials from external sources) (Marshall et al.: page 5, paragraphs 0045 and 0047, and page 7, paragraph 0057; this is further shown in Figure 9); and a plurality of different user profiles that are accessed from at least one user profile store, each for a different imaging client. with each user profile including a reference to the group composition store (each customer is associated with a profile in the Database B-Users database, including the customer's name, email, password, and memories materials and products created by or available to the customer) (Marshall et al.: pages 3-4, paragraph 0033, page 4, paragraph 0039, page 5, paragraphs 0044-0045 and further shown in Figures 1B and 6). However, Marshall et al. fail to explicitly teach the profile store storing the plurality of different user profiles is networked and autonomous. Hoyle teaches user interface systems for maintaining, organizing and communicating information accessible to a computer network (Hoyle: column 1, lines 17-22) similar to that of Marshall et al. In addition, Hoyle further teaches a profile store storing a plurality of different user profiles that is networked and autonomous (storing user profiles referencing user selected links on a server computer connected to the network, independently and individually) (Hoyle: column 5, lines 43-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the

teachings of Marshall et al. and Hoyle before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the user profile store taught by Marshall et al. to include the networked and autonomous user profiles of Hoyle. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to provide users with the portability of being able to gain access to files and resources from any computer system having network access, or that multiple users can gain access to individual files stored on the same computer.

Referring to claims 2 and 8, Marshall et al. teach a default graphics store for at least one of the imaging clients, a default composition store for the at least one imaging client, including a composition therein with a reference to a graphic within a graphics store, and wherein the user profile for the at least one imaging client includes a reference to the default graphic store and the default composition store (the artwork making up the style of the memories material and memories product can be retrieved from a library of design elements offered by the system; therefore, the user does not have to create their own artwork, they can use the default artwork and memories product offered by the system) (page 4, paragraph 0036 and further shown in Figure 11).

Referring to claims 3 and 9, Marshall et al. teach one or more of the user profiles including a reference to a default composition within said group composition store (customers can use the default artwork and memories product offered by the system and therefore, the user profile associated with that customer would reference the default artwork and memories product) (page 4, paragraph 0036 and further shown in Figure 11).

Referring to claims 4 and 10, Marshall et al. teach a firewall protecting the plurality of imaging clients (page 2, paragraph 0023) and wherein at least one composition in the group

Art Unit: 2173

composition store references a graphic outside of the firewall (memories material referenced by the memories product generated can be from external sources or resident on the customer's terminal equipment) (page 2, paragraph 0018 and 0024).

Referring to claims 5 and 11, Marshall et al. teach the group composition store containing a method that prevent at least selected ones of the imaging clients from deleting or modifying at least one composition in the group composition store (if users do not have an authenticated ID and password, they are prevented from using the system and consequently modifying the material such as memories material and memories products contained in the system) (page 4, paragraph 0042 and continuing onto the top of page 5).

Referring to claims 6 and 12, Marshall et al. teach a web extension that will enumerate compositions stored in the group composition store as available to the imaging client (page 7, paragraph 0057 and page 8, paragraph 0070 and further shown in Figure 9).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. The applicant argues that there is no disclosure of group composition stores that are networked and autonomous in Marshall et al. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As recited on page 3, paragraph 0028, the memories product generation system, which creates compositions, i.e. assembles the memories materials into memories products, can be part of a stand alone system that is connected to and communicates with the network. Therefore, the

memories product generation system, i.e. the group composition store, is networked to the communications medium and is autonomous in that it runs on a stand-alone system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (571) 272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-4058.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TZ

JOHN CABECA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100